

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/031,464	OREN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	1615	

All Participants:

(1) Lakshmi S. Channavajjala.

(2) James Napoli.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 25 October 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
 on record

Prior art documents discussed:
 US 6,841,167

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



LAKSHMI S. CHANNAVAJJALA
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed attorney that instant claims would be allowable upon filing a terminal disclaimer over US patent 6,841,167 (already of record). Attorney agreed to file a TD. Further, examiner was authorized examiner to amend instant claim 1 to reflect that the particles in the claim refer to the active compound, by examiner's amendment.